## Traffic Congestion Relief Program October 2005 Progress Report Scope, Schedule, Cashflow and Funding

Project #: 83.1 Date: 10/24/05

GENERAL PROJECT IN	FORMATION
PROJECT STATUS  Check the appropriate box:	
Project work is on-going using allocated TCRP funds only	
Project work is on-going using annotated Forth Tahas only	
Project work is on-going using allocated TCRP funds and non-TCRP funds	
✓ All TCRP allocated funds have been expended and the project work is on-going using non-TCRP fun	ds
Project work has been suspended	
Project work is complete	
CLOSE - OUT PROCESS (only if Project work is complete)  Close out report was submitted on Final invoice will be submitted on Close out report will be submitted on There was a project savings Input proportionate amount of TCRP savings Go to the TCRP website at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/ to find instructions concerning P	
PROJECT SCO	OPE
Please describe below if the project scope has changed from the last Commission approved applicated. An amended project application was submitted for approval to the CTC on September 22, for a concurrent allocation was also submitted. The project scope has not changed.	

## PROJECT SCHEDULE

Phase	Scope	Start	End	
1	Environmental			1
		Prior to 2000-01	2003-04	
2	Plans,			
	Specifications &			
	Estimates	2002-03	2005-06	
3	Right of Way			
	Acquisition	2000-01	2005-06	
4	Construction			
		2005-06		2007/08
4	Procurement			
		2005-06		2007/08

 $\label{loss_prop_prop_prop_prop} \textbf{Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project schedule?}$ 

Yes

If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

The suspension of the TCRP program required the re-direction of federal funds to complete this project. The use of these federal funds triggered the need to complete additional environmental studies to clear the document through NEPA. Completion of the stations and delivery of buses, however is still scheduled to be accomplished before or concurrently with opening of the I-15 Managed Lanes (Middle) (TCR project #83.2).

## PROJECT CASHFLOW

#### Indicate amount in \$1,000s

APPRO\	VED TCRP ALLO	CATI	ON(S)						E	stimated	Rem	aining Cas	hflow	/ Need		
Phase	Scope	Ap Allo	urrent proved cation(s) Phase	Re	enditures imbursed of 9/30/05	Exp	emaining penditures 7 2005-06	Y 2006-07	FY	2007-08	F	Y 2008-09	FY	2009-10	eyond 2009-10	Total
1	Environmental															
		\$	200	\$	200	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 200
2	Plans,															
	Specifications &															
	Estimates	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
3	Right of Way															
	Acquisition	\$	5,500	\$	5,500	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 5,500
4	Construction															
		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
4	Procurement															
		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
		\$	5,700	\$	5,700	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 5,700

# LAPSING ALLOCATED TCRP FUNDS IN FISCAL YEAR 2005-06

The following provides the phase, amount and month allocated funds will lapse in Fiscal Year 2005-06.

Go to the TCRP website at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/tcrp/ to find instructions in requesting an extension of these funds.

Current Approved Allocation(s) Month Funds Phase by Phase Lapse Scope Environmental 2 Plans, Specifications & Estimates 3 Right of Way Acquisition 4 Construction 4 Procurement

APPROVED LONP(S)  Remaining Expenditures Expenditures Made by Local to be Made LONP Amount LONP Amount by Phase Scope by Phase 9/30/05 in FY 2005-0								Estimated Expenditure of Local Funds												
Phase	Scope	LONP	Amount	Made Agen	by Loca cy as of	Exper to be l Local	nditures Made by Agency		006-07	FY	2007-08	FY	2008-09	FY	2009-10		eyond 2009-10		Total	Estimated FY When LONP Reimbursement will be Requested
1																				
		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$	_	\$	_	s	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_	\$		
4	Construction	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	
4	Procurement	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	

<u>FUTURE</u>	TCRP ALLOCAT	TIOI	N(S)				Estim	nated	d Cashflow	Nee	d			
Phase	Scope		ocation(s) Not Yet proved by Phase	When	<b>/</b> 2006-07	FY	Y 2007-08	FY	ſ 2008-09	F	Y 2009-10	Seyond 2009-10	Total	
1	Environmental	\$	_		\$ _	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$ _	
2	Plans, Specifications & Estimates	\$	-		\$	\$	-	\$	_	\$	_	\$ _	\$ -	-
3	Right of Way Acquisition	\$	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	<del>-</del> -
4	Construction	\$	23,100	2006-07	\$ 12,000	\$	11,100	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 23,100	_Allocation requested for FY 2005/06
4	Procurement	\$	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	_
		\$	23,100		\$ 12,000	\$	11,100	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 23,100	_

#### PROJECT FUNDING PLAN

#### Indicate amount in \$1,000s

Source	Туре		Ph	ase 1	P	hase 2	F	hase 3	F	Phase 4	Total
TCRP	State	Committed	\$	200	\$	-	\$	5,500	\$	23,100	\$ 28,800
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
CMAQ	Federal	Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,100	\$	19,900	\$ 22,000
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
TransNet	Local	Committed	\$	-	\$	1,200	\$	5,700	\$	200	\$ 7,100
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
FTA 5309 Bus	Federal	Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,634	\$ 2,634
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
TransNet Extension	Local	Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	400	\$	2,266	\$ 2,666
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ •
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
•		Committed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ •
		Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
<u> </u>	Totals	Committed	\$	200	\$	1,200	\$	13,700	\$	48,100	\$ 63,200
	· otais	Proposed	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -

Does this information reflect a change in the currently approved project funding plan? If yes, explain the reason(s) for change in the box below:

Yes

Change in Project Cost: The cost of construction of the stations is attributable to a number of factors:

• The delays to the schedule explained above pushed completion out by at least a year for the Del Lago station and longer for the others. The cost of construction materials and energy has risen significantly, reaching over 40% just in the past twelve months.

Additions to the scope of the project. The original estimate did not include any Intelligent Transportation Systems equipment, including fiber optic, digital
cameras, changeable message signs, automated fare collection, Fastrak equipment and system housing facilities. These systems will aide in the collection
of revenue from Fastrak and BRT users, and in the management of the I-15 lanes and BRT system. The scope has also increased to conform with regionally
adopted Transit First standards. These standards seek to increase the appeal of transit services to potential customers who have other transportation choice
by upgrading architectural features, landscaping and other elements.

• Allowance for future expansion. One example is the redesign of one station to accommodate a future parking structure. The Direct Access Ramp needed to be pushed south into the slope to accommodate this future expansion, requiring a wall to be increased.

 Allowance for pre-existing site conditions that were not identified early in the process, including landslides, expansive soils, failing drainage systems, lack of utility services, and constraints placed on the project by environmentally sensitive areas.